

**MINUTES OF MEETING
MEDITERRA NORTH
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

A Public Hearing and Regular Meeting of the Mediterra North Community Development District's Board of Supervisors was held on **Wednesday, August 19, 2015, at 9:30 a.m., at The Renaissance Center, 28121 Palmira Blvd., Bonita Springs, Florida 34135.**

Present were:

Frank Godshall	Chair
David Risley (<i>via telephone</i>)	Vice Chair
Thomas H. Van Tassel	Assistant Secretary
Brian Neary	Assistant Secretary
David Bocchini	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Chuck Adams	District Manager
Cleo Crismond	Assistant Regional Manager
Jonathan Johnson (<i>via telephone</i>)	District Counsel
Alyssa Cameron	Hopping Green & Sams
Gary Nychyk	Johnson Engineering
Gary Loser	Resident

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Call to Order/Roll Call

Mr. Adams called the meeting to order at 9:32 a.m., and noted, for the record, that Supervisors Godshall, Van Tassel, Neary, and Bocchini were present, in person. Supervisor Risley was attending via telephone.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments [3 minutes per person]

Mr. Gary Loser, a resident, provided the Board with a proposal to submit to the Attorney General's office requesting permission to hold the Mediterra North CDD meetings at The Club. He asked that, if the Board elected to follow this approach, the Board could add an agenda item, discussion and vote to merge the Mediterra North and Mediterra South CDDs.

Mr. Loser recalled that Mr. Godshall raised the issue of Board meetings at The Club at the May 20, 2015 meeting, when he asked Mr. Johnson about obtaining a variance so that the

Mediterra North CDD could hold its meetings at The Club, for the benefit of residents. Mr. Loser stated:

“Mr. Johnson replied that there is no chance of obtaining a variance from the statute; however, he added that Districts may merge but that would be very costly and take a long time. Since Mr. Johnson has advised that no variance can be obtained for the benefit of the residents for holding meetings at The Club, if the Board agrees with that, the only viable option is to merge the two CDDs so that meetings can be held at The Club and provide benefits to Mediterra residents that a merger would fulfill the Board’s fiduciary obligations to Mediterra residents.”

Mr. Loser presented a list of his reasons why it would be better to merge the CDDs for the benefit of Mediterra residents, instead of having two CDDs. He found no reason why two CDDs were better than one. Mr. Loser asked to be included in a discussion of merger. Mr. Loser stated:

“Regarding the cost of a merger, Mr. Johnson told the South CDD Board, at the May 20th meeting, in answering a question from Mr. Bishko that it would cost about \$100,000 to go through the merger process with the state. There are approximately 1,000 residents in Mediterra so the cost would be approximately \$100 per residence, which is not very expensive. How long would it take? Maybe Mr. Johnson would like to opine on that but I think it is better to get started now. It think if the Board considers the pluses and minus’ for a merger, with respect to residents’ interest and Board Members’ interests, the pluses will clearly outweigh the minus’ and indicate the need for a merger of the two Districts. Thank you. I would also like to ask the Board, on a separate issue, if the Board would be willing to give an update on the progress of complying with the statute regarding creating this CDD website by October 1st of this year. Thank you.”

Mr. Adams indicated that the CDD already has a website and it will be updated with the additional statutorily required provisions, by the end of September. The CDD website is www.mediterracdds.net.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Hearing to Hear Comments and Objections on the Adoption of the District’s Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2015/2016, Pursuant to Florida Law

A. Affidavit of Publication

Mr. Adams presented the affidavit of publication for today's public hearing.

B. Consideration of Resolution 2015-7, Relating to the Annual Appropriations and Adopting the Budget for the Fiscal Year Beginning October 1, 2015, and Ending September 30, 2016; Authorizing Budget Amendments; and Providing an Effective Date

Mr. Adams presented Resolution 2015-7 for the Board's consideration.

Mr. Adams indicated that there were no changes to the proposed budget since it was presented, at the last meeting. He recalled that both CDD Boards wanted the assessment level to remain similar to prior years, which was accomplished by shifting savings in various line items in the "Professional & admin" section, on Page 1, and repurposing the funds to the "Water management" section, on Page 1. The adjustment increased the "Aquascaping/Drainage Pipe Cleanout", from \$100,000 to \$125,000; the new canna lily cutback project was included in this line item and will be initiated between Thanksgiving and Christmas. Mr. Adams pointed out that fund balance of \$68,000 was used to maintain the assessment level, year-over-year, leaving a Fiscal Year 2016 "Fund balance - ending (projected)" of \$422,697.

Regarding the "General Fund 101" budget, Mr. Adams indicated that it is generally driven by the "Amortization Schedule", based on principal and interest payment obligations, which tends to keep "Debt Service" assessment levels the same, year-over-year, for the same product types.

Mr. Godshall noted that the "Engineering" line item, on Page 1, escalated due to improper disposal of the material from The Club. Mr. Adams confirmed that there would be offsetting income; the first set of invoices for reimbursement were in process of being forwarded to The Club, including the "front end" engineering costs for review, analysis, restoration plan preparation, working with the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD) and plantings. Mr. Godshall asked if the offsetting funds would "come in on the revenues". Mr. Adams replied affirmatively; the year-end financials will contain "Engineering - General" and "Engineering - Conservation area impact related" expense line items and a "Conservation impact related miscellaneous" revenue line item.

Mr. Godshall referred to the tables on Pages 17 through 19. He identified the "Cortile (lots 6-8, 26-36)" line item, on Page 19, with the "Bond Designation" "Villa A", and stated that all of the properties "dropped", since Fiscal Year 2015 but the "Villa A" assessment increased

from \$1,933.15, in Fiscal Year 2015, to \$2,023.42, in Fiscal Year 2016. Mr. Godshall questioned if there was a typographical error.

Mr. Adams must confer with Ms. Alice Carlson, of AJC Associates, Inc., as the amount should coincide with the other product types.

On MOTION by Mr. Godshall and seconded by Mr. Neary, with all in favor, the Public Hearing was opened.

No members of the public spoke.

On MOTION by Mr. Godshall and seconded by Mr. Neary, with all in favor, the Public Hearing was closed.

On MOTION by Mr. Neary and seconded by Mr. Van Tassel, with all in favor, Resolution 2015-7, Relating to the Annual Appropriations and Adopting the Budget for the Fiscal Year Beginning October 1, 2015, and Ending September 30, 2016; Authorizing Budget Amendments; and Providing an Effective Date, was adopted.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Consideration of Resolution 2015-8, Imposing Special Assessments and Certifying an Assessment Roll; Providing a Severability Clause; and Providing an Effective Date

Mr. Godshall presented Resolution 2015-8 for the Board's consideration.

Mr. Adams advised that this was a standard assessment levying resolution, which considered the adopted Fiscal Year 2016 budget assessment rates and directs Staff to finalize the lien roll, transmit it to the tax collector for placement of the on-roll assessments on the tax bills and direct billing of assessments on developer owned units, as specified in Section 3., on Page 2.

On MOTION by Mr. Van Tassel and seconded by Mr. Bocchini, with all in favor, Resolution 2015-8, Imposing Special Assessments and Certifying an Assessment Roll; Providing a Severability Clause; and Providing an Effective Date, was adopted.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Interlocal Agreement
Between the Mediterra North Community
Development District and the Mediterra
South Community Development District
Regarding the Annual Combined General
Fund Budget**

Mr. Godshall presented the Interlocal Agreement for the Board's consideration.

Mr. Adams explained that the agreement memorializes an informal policy that was in place since about 2005 or 2006, when the Districts began sharing operational budgets, with the primary operation being the stormwater system, which was designed and permitted to operate as a single system. The agreement also included the overhead costs related to administering the Districts and sharing those costs, equally, so that each unit would pay the same amount, regardless of where they reside within the Mediterra community.

Ms. Cameron stated that the agreement establishes that the Districts would share in the combined General Fund budget, with each District paying its proportionate share on the assessable units that are within each District; Management is responsible for obtaining the unit number by June 1, each year. She indicated that Management was also responsible for determining items that should not be included in the combined General Fund budget, such as litigation involving only one District. Ms. Cameron advised that the agreement designates authority to the Chair of each District to work together to do anything necessary to abide by the agreement. The agreement's termination clause allows either District to terminate the agreement by notifying the other District, in writing, by May 1, with termination effective October 1, of the same year.

In response to a question, Ms. Cameron confirmed that, in a dispute, the Districts would agree to binding mediation. Regarding who would select the mediator, Mr. Johnson recommended that the District Manager make the selection. Mr. Johnson stated that the provision could be added to Section 5, on Page 3.

On MOTION by Mr. Neary and seconded by Mr. Van Tassel, with all in favor, the Interlocal Agreement Between the Mediterra North Community Development District and the Mediterra South Community Development District Regarding the Annual Combined General Fund Budget, as amended, was approved.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Consideration of Resolution 2015-9,
Adopting the Annual Meeting Schedule
for Fiscal Year 2015/2016**

Mr. Godshall presented Resolution 2015-9 for the Board’s consideration.

Mr. Adams advised that, going forward, the meeting room would not be available at 9:30 a.m., on the third Wednesday of the month. In response to Mr. Neary’s question, Mr. Adams stated that Mediterra South would still meet at 11:30 a.m. Mr. Neary pointed out that the situation of Mediterra South meeting prior to Mediterra North created habitual issues, due to residents calling in, and questioned why Mr. Adams thought anything would change. Mr. Neary felt that the 1:30 p.m., meeting time did not make sense. Mr. Adams indicated that 1:30 p.m., was on the schedule because the 9:30 a.m., time requested by the Board, was not available and a starting time was needed for discussion purposes.

Mr. Adams agreed that discussion was necessary; the Board could move the meeting time to 2:00 p.m. or change meeting dates. Mr. Adams pondered changing the Mediterra South meeting time from 11:30 a.m., to 11:00 a.m. Mr. Neary suggested that the Districts switch meeting times, with Mediterra North commencing at 11:30 a.m., and Mediterra South at 1:30 p.m. Mr. Adams must present the option to the Mediterra South Board. Mr. Neary stressed that Mediterra North was not the cause of the issue. Mr. Godshall did not favor a later start time. Mr. Adams stated that he must enforce a “hard” stop time for the Mediterra South meetings. The Board Members doubted that enforcement of the “hard” stop time was possible.

Mr. Adams will research the following meeting options:

- ✓ 11:00 a.m., on the third Wednesday of the month
- ✓ 9:00 a.m., or 9:30 a.m., on the third Tuesday or Thursday of the month

Once the meeting time and dates are determined, Management will advertise the meeting schedule and the Board will ratify Resolution 2015-9 at the next meeting.

This item was tabled to the next meeting.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Presentation of Annual Quality
Assurance Audit: Lake Maintenance**

- A. Memorandum**
- B. Evaluation Sheets/Photos**
- C. Maps**

D. Detailed Specifications

Ms. Crismond indicated that the audit was conducted during June and July. She recalled major erosion challenges when the project commenced.

Ms. Crismond stated that the littoral plant program results were amazing. She indicated that canna lily hard cut, to 6" to 8", will occur between Thanksgiving and Christmas, which will encourage new, lush re-growth.

Mr. Godshall noted that many residents had objections to the overgrowth of lake bank plantings, as views were blocked.

Ms. Crismond wanted the littoral plant project to remain status quo but Staff was considering the addition of more color.

Regarding erosion, Ms. Crismond advised that, this year, there were no erosion issues on residential properties; issues with the golf course correcting its lake bank erosion remained. She met with Mr. Tim Hiers, the new golf course Superintendent, and provided him with information and photographs and requested completion of the necessary repairs. In response to Mr. Godshall's question, Ms. Crismond confirmed that the golf course repairs would not be a cost to the District. Ms. Crismond noted that the golf course must also have an interconnect pipe cleaned.

Mr. Risley asked if construction on the south golf course impacted the pond quality. Ms. Crismond replied affirmatively; more ponds had algae due to additional fertilizer. Mr. Adams indicated that the issue would require additional algae treatments, during the sod grow-in process. Ms. Crismond commented that nutrient runoff was tremendous this year, which caused algae blooms in areas that usually do not have algae. Discussion ensued regarding lakes with algae issues. In response to a question, Mr. Adams confirmed that a Lee County ordinance prohibits fertilizing between June 1 and October 1. Ms. Crismond and Mr. Adams conceded that landscapers might be fertilizing, despite the ordinance. Mr. Risley asked how the algae would be removed. Mr. Adams indicated that algae is treated but areas with severe algae must be raked off and then treated.

In response to a question, Ms. Crismond clarified that there are 76 ponds but the District does not have access to one, as it is still under development.

Ms. Crismond stated that the contract for maintenance of water management areas and aquatic and wetland management will go out to bid; the bids will be presented for consideration at the October meeting.

A Board Member noted that a resident wanted to fish in the pond and asked if he could construct a dock. Mr. Adams explained that these were designed stormwater ponds and were not designed for recreational purposes; however, fishing is not prohibited.

Mr. Godshall asked for an update on the aeration program. Ms. Crismond advised that new installations were completed and should be “powered up”; subsequently, ten to 15 aeration systems, per year, should be installed, until every Mediterra pond had aeration. Mr. Godshall stated that five were scheduled in Mediterra North. Mr. Adams explained that the developer installed aeration in more Mediterra North ponds, than Mediterra South ponds, because Lee County requires aeration in lakes over 12’ deep, which would have required the developer to install them in those ponds in order to receive final certification.

Discussion ensued regarding maintenance of the aerators. The aeration system inspection report will be emailed to the Board.

On MOTION by Mr. Neary and seconded by Mr. Bocchini, with all in favor, the Annual Quality Assurance Audit: Lake Maintenance, was approved.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Discussion: CDD Insurance

A. Public Officials Liability Premium Increase

Mr. Adams recalled discussion, at the last meeting, regarding increasing the Directors and Officers (D&O) liability insurance above the current \$1 million coverage.

Mr. Godshall referred to the figures provided and noted that the premium amount would increase \$3,325, to increase the coverage from \$1 million to \$2 million, and the cost increases, incrementally, with an increase of \$4,825 for \$5 million of coverage. Mr. Godshall favored increasing coverage to \$5 million, based on the cost. Mr. Bocchini agreed.

In response to a question, Mr. Adams indicated that the cost for General Liability insurance, which includes the \$1 million D&O coverage, was approximately \$12,000 for both Districts; about \$6,000 each. He clarified that the increase amounts provided were specific to the District, as they are separate entities.

Mr. Adams confirmed that insurance was not bid. He will provide information related to the insurance carrier.

In response to the question regarding the frequency of CDD Board Members being sued, Mr. Adams recalled two, during his years as a District Manager. Mr. Johnson added that it is a rare occurrence; he had two or three, over the last 20 years. Mr. Johnson noted that, in his experience, none of the suits filed were successful, meaning, his firm prevailed in defending the Board Member.

Mr. Johnson pointed out that, in addition to D&O insurance, under Chapter 111, the District is obligated to indemnify and defend Board Members for their service on the Board; therefore, if a judgment exceeded the coverage amount, the Board Member would have indemnity, provided they acted within the proper scope of their authority. In response to a question, Mr. Adams suspected that Mediterra South would increase its coverage to at least \$2 million.

A Board Member felt that Mediterra North should follow Mediterra South’s lead. Mr. Godshall believed that the Mediterra North Board should make a “standalone” decision. Mr. Bocchini believed that, if the Mediterra South Board thought more protection was necessary, there was no reason for the Mediterra North Board to be “sitting behind”. Mr. Godshall voiced his opinion that the Mediterra South Board does not “know something” more than the Mediterra North Board.

On MOTION by Mr. Godshall and seconded by Mr. Neary, with Mr. Godshall, Mr. Neary, Mr. Bocchini and Mr. Risley in favor and Mr. Van Tassel dissenting, authorization for Staff to increase the Directors and Officers coverage to \$5 million, was approved. (Motion passed 4-1)

B. Crime Policy Limit Increase

Mr. Adams indicated that, currently, the reinsurance limit is capped at \$1 million and cannot be increased at this time. Management will try to increase it to \$2 million when the policy is renewed on October 1, 2015.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of May 20, 2015 Regular Meeting Minutes

Mr. Godshall presented the May 20, 2015 Regular Meeting Minutes and asked for any additions, deletions or corrections.

Mr. Godshall referred to Lines 277 through 279, noted the history of the Series 2003B bond and asked if the District paid \$5,000, per year, on that bond. Mr. Adams replied affirmatively; it was a District-issue bond fund and AJC charged a \$5,000 base fee, per fund, to prepare and manage the lien roll; since the bond was paid off, as of May 1, 2015, that fee was eliminated for Fiscal Year 2016.

The following changes were made:

Line 63: Change “Brandisi” to “Brendisi”

On MOTION by Mr. Neary and seconded by Mr. Bocchini, with all in favor, the May 20, 2015 Regular Meeting Minutes, as amended, were approved.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Mr. Godshall recalled Mr. Loser’s earlier suggestion to consider merging the Mediterra North and South CDDs.

Mr. Godshall did not favor merging the CDDs, given the estimated \$100,000 cost. He saw no benefit, other than being able to meet in the same location.

In response to Mr. Neary’s question, Mr. Johnson estimated the cost to merge would be \$75,000 to \$100,000. He explained that a variable with a merger would be whether each county would waive the \$15,000 filing fee that they are entitled to and, if not, it equates to a \$30,000 cost to simply file a petition seeking a merger. Mr. Johnson stated that the other considerations were savings of a portion of the administrative expenses of the Districts; however, it would be a relatively expensive process. He advised that decisions must be made in conjunction with the Mediterra South Board, such as whether an entirely new CDD would be formed, which would be comprised of Board Members from each Board and determining which Board Members those would be, as, post-merger, the District would be allowed a maximum of five Board Members. The other option would be for one District to merge into the other District, and cease existence. Mr. Johnson advised that the merger process would take about nine months, as it must be approved by the state. He concluded that a merger was possible if the Boards found that the logistical and economic benefits justify the cost to merge.

Mr. Godshall recalled Mr. Johnson’s comment, at the last meeting, regarding potential legislative changes.

Mr. Johnson stated that, regarding a legislative solution, he did not have a simple solution. He expected that the Association of Florida Community Development Districts (AFCDD) will run a bill seeking changes to Chapter 190; however, the base requirement that CDDs meet in the county in which it is located is from Section 189.015. Mr. Johnson noted a few exemptions, one which was mentioned in an email from Mr. Loser, which allows “Districts located within certain kinds of developments that may span more than one county boundary to meet in another county, as long as they are within that Development of Regional Impact (DRI) for Florida Quality Development”. He hoped that the development approvals for both Mediterra CDDs would reveal commonality that could be applied from the statute; unfortunately, the land within each CDD was approved by separate Planned Unit Developments (PUDs), so there is a Lee County PUD and a Collier County PUD. Mr. Johnson stated that there was no overlapping approval that tied the Districts together; he will continue looking but was not optimistic that anything would be found to neatly apply Chapter 190. He was not aware of any bills proposed to open Chapter 189 and saw no “easy” legislative solution.

Discussion ensued regarding whether the benefits of merging would outweigh the cost. Mr. Godshall recalled that, until about two meetings ago, there were almost never visitors at the meetings and, suddenly, there was activity and some people, including Mr. Loser, began attending. He noted that, similarly, unless there was a hot topic, Mediterra South’s meetings were rarely attended.

Mr. Neary pointed out the limited use of the new, resident call-in number. Mr. Adams confirmed that no one called in to the last meeting and one called in today.

Mr. Loser stated:

“I think it is important that the Board appreciate that it has fiduciary obligations to the members of Mediterra and that it has to do what is in the best interests of the residents and, if by moving the meetings to the Mediterra Clubhouse, more people would attend, we know the answer but, if they had the opportunity to attend, then it may be something that you have a fiduciary obligation to make the change.”

Mr. Loser asked to speak off the record.

Mr. Godshall advised that anything said at the meeting was on the record.

Mr. Loser stated:

“Oh, okay, that’s fine, it’s just my opinion. The South CDD had not had a designated office in Collier County for about 15 years, and that was raised as being an issue. The Board never saw it as an issue, the lawyers didn’t see it as an issue and it went on for a long period of time, which is fine, you know, because Chuck...because he did provide the CDs that I was looking for, that I needed, like he was looking for it through the office but, the essence is that, given the number of people that come to these meetings, they, in my mind, the open government law is designed to protect the Florida public residents and I personally don’t see any issue with the Board saying ‘we will try’ based on my recommendation, so to speak, to get you guys off the hook, on my recommendation that because the intent is to help the..benefit the residents of Mediterra. Having this Board meet at The Clubhouse at Mediterra should be fine and, if someone complains about the Board doing that, then you can make a decision of whether you will send a letter to the Attorney General’s office....that’s okay or just say to the resident okay, we will move back over to Palmira. But, my guess is, you have zero chance of ever getting a complaint by holding these meetings at Mediterra and that is what I am trying to help you get through, is to have the meetings at Mediterra and then you don’t get into these issues about this office isn’t available, you just go to Mediterra and, outside of a written contract, I don’t see a To me, it is just an easy solution but it is up to you guys to do it.”

▪ **Time-Zero Monitoring Report**

*****This item was an addition to the agenda.*****

Mr. Adams indicated that the Time-Zero Monitoring Report for the conservation area impacts was completed and transmitted to SFWMD. Generally, the base monitoring went well; in a few locations, a few trees were under stress, likely due to the inundation of water levels, which can impact new trees; a pine tree was missing, in one location. It was suggested that the issues be addressed in October or November, when the rainy season subsides and water levels decrease.

Mr. Gary Nychyk, a Johnson Engineering Ecologist, advised that SFWMD expressed concern about erosion into conservation area #19, near golf hole 5, north, where erosion was coming off of the gravel golf cart path, along with the plant areas previously discussed by Mr.

Adams. He believed that the District could proceed with the first Annual and subsequent Annual Reports, cleanly, and restore the areas to the required standards and functioning well.

▪ **Anonymous Letter from “Concerned Conservationist”**

****This item was an addition to the agenda.****

Mr. Adams indicated that a “concerned conservationist” sent a letter to the District and SFWMD, which cited four Terrazza Lane addresses, where it was suspected that the homeowners extended their landscaping into conservation areas. He stated that the SFWMD representative visited the properties and photographed them but additional investigation was necessary, as it was not clear whether there were conservation area impacts. Mr. Adams explained that, in one section, the question was whether the property owners landscaped beyond the lake tract and, if not, it would not be a conservation area violation. He presented aerial photographs of the area and noted that, for the two northern homes, it appeared that there was not an extending amount of floratum and irrigation; rather, there was more natural or barren ground cover. Mr. Adams pointed out that the southern homes had more floratum coverage, other plants and irrigation; it appeared that the lower corner of Conservation Area #21 was impacted by the southernmost address.

Mr. Nychyk distributed a report, which addressed impacts to Conservation Area #21. He explained that the property was surveyed to define the area; approximately 1,000 square feet of conservation impacts were identified. Mr. Nychyk recommended removal of sod in a 35’ by 60’ area and installation of 112 native herbaceous or ground cover species. He suggested signage or a physical barrier to identify where private property ends and conservation areas begin.

Mr. Godshall asked if grass has a tendency to proceed, on its own. Mr. Nychyk replied affirmatively. Mr. Godshall asked if property owners were responsible for areas where grass encroached into the conservation areas, over time. Mr. Nychyk did not want to comment on responsibility but noted that the specific floratum sod was mowed and maintained. Mr. Godshall explained that the neighborhood has a landscape maintenance contractor, who will mow wherever there is grass. Mr. Godshall stressed that the contractor would not know if it was conservation area and, if it was not maintained, the contractor would be reprimanded by the neighborhood association. Mr. Adams conceded that the lines become less definitive, over time.

Mr. Adams did not believe that the property owners purposefully encroached into the conservation area. He summarized the finding of 1,000 square feet of floratum sod and irrigation heads, which led him to believe the sod was installed but the property owner did not know where

the property line ended. Mr. Adams felt that the District should “reclaim” the area by spraying the floratam to kill it, install new plants and mulch around the plants. He advised that the conservation impact was not nearly what the “concerned conservationist” thought it was but the impacted area must be restored. In response to a question, Mr. Adams confirmed that the four property owners cited by the “concerned conservationist” are not aware of the situation; he wanted to inform the Board before speaking to the property owners. He estimated that restoration would cost less than \$1,000.

Discussion ensued regarding the likely originator of the letter and why it was sent. In response to a question, Mr. Nychyk confirmed that SFWMD did not seem interested in elevating this situation to a violation. Mr. Adams will notify the property owners of the situation and the restoration project, along with educating the property owners about conservation areas. Discussion ensued regarding whether the property owners were in Florida and the possibility of calling them.

On MOTION by Mr. Bocchini and seconded by Mr. Neary, with all in favor, authorizing Staff to proceed with restoration of Conservation Area #21, as outlined and recommended, was approved.

ELEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Staff Reports

A. Attorney

There being nothing additional to report, the next item followed.

B. Engineer

There being no report, the next item followed.

C. Manager

i. Approval of Unaudited Financial Statements as of June 30, 2015

Mr. Adams presented the Unaudited Financial Statements as of June 30, 2015.

Mr. Godshall asked Ms. Crismond to email an aeration update for both CDDs of the number installed and the number to be installed.

On MOTION by Mr. Neary and seconded by Mr. Van Tassel, with all in favor, the Unaudited Financial Statements as of June 30, 2015, were approved.

TWELFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors' Requests

There being no Supervisors' requests, the next item followed.

THIRTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Public Comments

There being no public comments, the next item followed.

FOURTEENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

There being no further business to discuss, the meeting adjourned.

**On MOTION by Mr. Neary and seconded by Mr. Van Tassel,
with all in favor, the meeting adjourned at approximately
11:09 a.m.**

[SIGNATURES APPEAR ON THE FOLLOWING PAGE]


Secretary/Assistant Secretary


Chair/Vice Chair